	Thomas K. Hockel (SBN 172367) KELLY HERLIHY & KLEIN, LLP 44 Montgomery Street, Suite 2500 San Francisco, CA 94104-4798
3	San Francisco, CA 94104-4798 Tel.: (415) 951-0535 Fax: (415) 391-7808 jaklein@kelher.com
5	thockel@kelher.com
6 7	Attorneys for Defendant WHOLE FOODS MARKET, INC., GROUP LONG TERM DISABILITY PLAN
8	Michael S. Henderson (SBN 175608) TEAL & MONTGOMERY 815 Fifth Street, Suite 200
10	Santa Rosa, California 95404 Telephone: (707) 525-1212 Facsimile: (707) 544-1388
12	mhenderson@tealmontgomery.com
13	Attorneys for Plaintiff MAYDA RIGGS-NIX
14	UNITED STATED DISTRICT COURT
15	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
16	Case No.: 1-07-1352 WHA
17	MAYDA E. RIGGS-NIA,
18	Plaintiff, STIPULATED REQUEST FOR ORDER CHANGING TIME; PROPOSED ORDER
19	vs.
20	WHOLE FOODS MARKET, INC. GROUP
21	LONG TERM DISABILITY PLAN and
22	DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,
23	Defendant.
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	STIPULATED REQUEST FOR ORDER CHANGING TIME; PROPOSED ORDER
	Case No.: 1-07-1352 WHA

Pursuant to Local Rule 6-2, defendant Whole Foods Market, Inc., Long Term Disability Plan ("defendant" or "the plan") and plaintiff Mayda Riggs-Nix ("plaintiff"), by and through their respective counsel, submit this stipulated request for an order changing time.

RECITALS

- 1. On July 5, 2007, the Court issued its Case Management Order. The Order provided, *inter alia*, that all initial disclosures must be completed by July 20, 2007. The order also required defendant to file the "administrative record" and its motion for summary judgment by August 30, 2007.
 - Defendant has not received plaintiff's initial disclosures.
- 3. On August 15, 2007, counsel for defendant sent an email to plaintiff's counsel inquiring into the status of plaintiff's initial disclosures and suggesting the parties agree to move summary judgment deadline a month. Defense counsel did not receive a reply to the email.
- 4. On August 20, 2007, defense counsel called plaintiff's counsel and left a voicemail inquiring into the status of plaintiff's initial disclosures and suggesting the parties stipulate to move the deadline for the motion for summary judgment. Defense counsel did not hear back from him.
- 5. On August 21, 2007, defense counsel called plaintiff's counsel again and left another voicemail about the disclosures and the motion deadline. Defense counsel advised plaintiff's that, if he did not hear back from him, defense counsel would file a request for an extension.
- 6. Defense counsel did not hear back from plaintiff's counsel. Therefore, he filed a Motion to Enlarge Time on August 22, 2007.
- 7. After receiving defendant's motion, plaintiff's counsel called and conferred with defense counsel on August 23, 2007. Plaintiff's counsel explained that he had been out of town and therefore had not responded to defense counsel's communications. Plaintiff's counsel also explained that he did not send disclosures because he believed plaintiff would not have anything to disclose that defendant would not already have (i.e., records in the claim file or appeal documents). Defense counsel explained his reason for wanting to be able to compare the parties'

Case No.: 1-07-1352 WHA

respective documents, including but not limited to ensuring that the administrative record is complete and accurate. 2 Counsel have agreed that plaintiff will re-copy and send to defense counsel 3 plaintiff's disclosures including all claim/appeal documents in their files. 4 Defendant will need time to receive, review, compare and analyze plaintiff's 9. 5 disclosures and documents in advance of filing the motion for summary judgment. 6 Plaintiff's counsel has no objection to an enlargement of time to enable this to 7 10. 8 occur. WHEREFORE, the parties stipulate to and request an order as follows: 9 That the deadline for defendant's filing of the summary judgment be extended 10 1. from the current deadline of August 30, 2007 to September 27, 2007 (or another later date that 11 is convenient to the Court), to be heard on a 35-day track with opposition, any request for 12 discovery, and reply as previously ordered. 13 That the Case Management Conference set for November 8, 2007, at 11:00 a.m., 14 be re-schedule to accommodate the new briefing schedule on the motion for summary judgment. 15 IT IS SO STIPULATED. 16 KELLY, HERLIHY & KLEIN LLP . 17 Dated: August 23, 2007 18 19 By /s/ Thomas K. Hocke Thomas K. Hockel Attorneys for Defendant 20 WHOLE FOODS MARKET, INC., LONG TERM DISABILITY PLAN 21 22 TEAL & MONTGOMERY 23 Dated: August 23, 2007 24 25 By /s/ Michael S. Henderson 26 Michael S. Henderson Attorneys for Plaintiff 27 MAYDA RIGGS-NIX 28 STIPULATED REQUEST FOR ORDER CHANGING TIME; PROPOSED ORDER

Case No.: 1-07-1352 WHA

	<u>ORDER</u>
	Having reviewed the parties' Stipulated Request, the Court hereby orders the following:
2	
3	1. The deadline for defendant's filing of the summary judgment be extended from the current deadline of August 30, 2007 to September 27, 2007, to be heard on a 35-day track
- 11	with opposition, any request for discovery, and reply as previously ordered.
6	
Ш	will be granted.
8	IT IS SO ORDERED.
9 0	SAUS DISTRICT CON
1	TT IS SO ORDERED
2	Dated: August 27, 2007 UNITED STATES DESTRICT JUDGE
3	DISTRICTOR
4	
.5	
6	
7	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	-3-
	STIPULATED REQUEST FOR ORDER CHANGING TIME; PROPOSED ORDER

Case No.: 1-07-1352 WHA